Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/978,146	MELMED ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Shin-Lin Chen	1632
All Participants:	Status of Application	1:
(1) <u>Shin-Lin_Chen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Seth Levy</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 8 December 2004	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicate ☐ Applicate ☐ Applicate ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description:	ant's representative)	· ·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
, (5) 4.6535553.		
Claims discussed: 60-65		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENER See Continuation Sheet	RAL NATURE OF WHAT \	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary</li> </ul>	examiner will provide a w	vritten summary of the substance
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/A		
(Applicant/A	Applicant's Representative	Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner notifies Mr. Seth Levy that claims 60-65 has utility issue and are not allowable, however, a method for screening a drug candidate for treating diabetes by using the claimed null mutant mouse would be allowable. Mr Levy indicates that he would discuss with his client and may fax an informal supplemental amendment regarding those issues..